Publik (Jurnal Ilmu Adminsitrasi)
Vol. 15 No. 1 Tahun 2026
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.31314/pjia.14.1.61-74.2026
Copyright © 2025, Publik (Jurnal Ilmu Administrasi)
Under The License CC BY-SA 4.0
ISSN: 2301-573X E-ISSN: 2581-2084

Green Governance and Collaborative Governance in Strengthening Sumatra's Forest Resilience

Marli I Alfikri[1], Rekho Adriadi[2*], Novliza Eka Patrisia[3], Rosidin[4]

[1,2,3,4] Universitas Muhammadiyah Bengkulu, Indonesia
Email: iksanalfikri30@gmail.com ; rekhoadriadi@umb.ac.id ; novlizaekap@umb.ac.id ; rosidin@umb.ac.id

Abstract. Forest degradation in Sumatra has become a critical environmental governance challenge driven by deforestation, tenure conflicts, weak law enforcement, and increasing hydrometeorological disasters. Conventional forest management, which relies heavily on top-down and enforcement-oriented approaches, has been unable to address the complex socio-ecological problems faced by local communities. This study aims to analyze how a collaborative governance model grounded in green governance principles strengthens forest resilience in the KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun, Bengkulu, while reducing tenure conflicts and supporting sustainable community livelihoods. A descriptive qualitative case study approach was employed. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with 209 coffee farmers, field observations covering 1,992.69 hectares of the KHDTK area, and document analysis of government policies, village potential data, and institutional reports. Data were analyzed using an interactive qualitative analysis model involving data condensation, data display, and conclusion verification. The findings reveal that the transition from a coercive forest management model to a collaborative governance approach, facilitated by the university as a neutral bridging actor, successfully rebuilt trust among stakeholders, promoted social learning, and encouraged the adoption of adaptive agroforestry, riparian protection, and ecotourism-based livelihood diversification. These initiatives strengthened both ecological resilience and the adaptive capacity of local communities while reducing dependence on unsustainable land-use practices. The study also introduces the Tri-Helix Conservation Model, integrating universities, government agencies, and forest farmer groups as an institutional innovation for sustainable forest governance. This model offers a practical framework for strengthening forest resilience and can serve as a reference for collaborative forest governance in other protected forest areas facing similar socio-ecological challenges.

Keywords: Collaborative Governance; Forest Resilience; Green Governance

***Received:**14-03-2026* ***Revised**:22-04-2026* ***Accepted**:23-06-2026*

INTRODUCTION

Sumatra Island is one of Indonesia's key ecosystem support areas, where the Bukit Barisan Mountains function as an "air tower" that regulates air quality, microclimate, and invites the lives of millions of people from upstream to downstream. In the past decade, this region has experienced significant deforestation and degradation pressures due to the expansion of commodities, illegal logging, and weak regulatory enforcement, resulting in a decline in ecological carrying capacity and an increased risk of hydrometeorological disasters such as flash floods and landslides (Global Forest Watch, 2023; Ministry of Environment and Forestry, 2023). This condition confirms that Sumatra's forest resilience is at a critical point, reflected in the increasing loss of life, damage to basic infrastructure, and disruption to economic activities in

Email Correspondence*:
rekhoadriadi@umb.ac.id

upstream and downstream areas (National Disaster Management Agency, 2025). Thus, forest governance issues throughout the Bukit Barisan are no longer merely sectoral environmental issues, but have become strategic public governance issues within the framework of public administration and regional development policies (Farah Adiba et al., 2017).

Several studies have shown that the root of ecological problems in Indonesia's forest areas, including Sumatra, cannot be separated from a management model dominated by a centralized, top-down approach from the state. This model tends to position the state as the primary actor regulating, supervising, and taking action, while the role of local communities and other non-state actors is marginalized and instrumental (Hidayatullah, 2020). In the context of protected forests and conservation areas, this pattern has two serious consequences: first, the emergence of protracted community tenure conflicts due to the mismatch between state claims and land use practices; second, a weak sense of community ownership of forest areas, which in turn encourages land clearing without regard for conservation principles (Meuleman, 2019). The increasing deforestation and destruction of protected areas in Sumatra, despite the intervention of various conservation policy instruments, indicates that the state-based management paradigm has failed to address the complexity of socio-ecological relationships at the local level (Folke et al., 2005).

In response to the failure of conventional approaches, the literature on public administration and environmental policy has developed a green governance and collaborative governance framework that emphasizes the importance of simultaneously integrating social and economic aspects through participatory governance based on multi-actor collaboration (Farah Adiba et al., 2017). Green governance views public policy not merely as an instrument for achieving economic growth, but as a tool for ensuring ecosystems and intergenerational equity, thus demanding transparency, accountability, and substantive participation in natural resource management (Meuleman, 2019).

In line with this, (Ansell & Gash, 2008) conceptualize Collaborative Governance as a governance process involving state and non-state actors in an equal, dialogue-based deliberative forum, building trust and shared commitment to produce more legitimate and effective policies. In the context of forest management and fire prevention in Indonesia, several studies have shown that collaboration across government actors, local communities, businesses, and knowledge organizations can improve coordination, strengthen adaptive capacity, and produce more responsive mitigation mechanisms, although still facing constraints on the overall aspects of power, resources, and visibility of institutional roles (Korhonen-Kurki, Kaisa;

Brockhaus, Maria; Muharrom, Efrian; Juhola, Sirkku; Moeliono, Moira; Maharani, Cynthia; Dwisatrio, 2017).

In practice, mainstreaming collaborative governance in Indonesia's forestry sector has largely been achieved through social forestry policies, co-management schemes, and the development of community-based forest management (Brockhaus, 2017). However, various studies have shown that the implementation of these policies is often hampered by weak local institutional capacity, minimal technical assistance, and the absence of facilitative actors capable of bridging the gap between the forestry bureaucracy and local communities (Li, 2023). Studies in South and West Sumatra, for example, show that the success of community-based forest governance depends heavily on the presence of bridging actors with scientific and social legitimacy to orchestrate interests and manage conflicts (Asmin, Ferdinal; Darusman, Dudung; Ichwandi, Iin; Suharjito, 2019). On the other hand, research on social forestry and network governance in the Southeast Asian forestry sector confirms that universities and research institutions have the potential to be important catalysts in building community adaptive capacity, providing scientific evidence, and strengthening public accountability mechanisms in natural resource management (Brecka et al., 2018). However, the strategic role of the academic community as a core actor in governance, beyond simply providing technical recommendations, has been relatively rarely empirically explored in the context of forest areas with high levels of tenure conflict, such as the Bukit Barisan.

The Special Purpose Forest Area (KHDTK) Register 5 Bukit Daun in Central Bengkulu presents a unique and relevant context for developing environmental public administration studies. This area, located in the heart of the Bukit Barisan Mountains, serves as a vital hydrological buffer for several watersheds, but also serves as a living space for hundreds of coffee farmers who depend on land use within and around the forest for their livelihoods (KHDTK Farmer Inventory Data, 2024; KHDTK Village Potential Data, 2024). For years, the relationship between forestry officials and the communities surrounding Register 5 has been marked by enforcement operations and coercive approaches, which have created negative collective memories, weakened trust, and fueled resistance to conservation policies (Christine Wulandari, 2018).

The establishment of the KHDTK, managed by Muhammadiyah University of Bengkulu, opens the opportunity for a new governance configuration, where the university acts not only as a management permit holder but also as a facilitative leader orchestrating collaboration between the government, Forest Farmer Groups (KTH), and other local actors within a green governance

framework (Sofik Handoyo, 2018). Based on this background, previous research on green governance and collaborative governance in the forestry sector generally still places the government as the dominant actor, while the role of the academic community tends to be reduced to technocratic and consultative functions (Hidayatullah, 2020).

However, there remains limited empirical evidence on how university-managed Forest Areas with Special Purpose (KHDTK) can function as a collaborative governance platform that simultaneously addresses tenure conflicts, strengthens forest resilience, and reduces hydrometeorological disaster risks. This gap has not been adequately explored in previous studies, particularly in the context of Sumatra's protected forest areas. This study offers an update (state of the art) by empirically examining how universities, through the KHDTK scheme, play a role as a core actor that carries out facilitative leadership, builds social learning, and designs a Tri-Helix Conservation model that connects the government, the academic community, and forest farmer groups to strengthen the resilience of Sumatra's forests. Thus, the problem formulation in this study is: how a green governance-based collaborative governance model implemented through the management of KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun can strengthen forest ecological resilience and reduce disaster risks in Bengkulu Province, while simultaneously addressing tenurial conflicts and improving the welfare of coffee farmers. The purpose of this study is to analyze the effectiveness of the collaborative governance model of KHDTK Register 5 in strengthening forest resilience and formulating the Tri-Helix Conservation model as an innovation in public governance in the forestry sector. Theoretically, this study is useful for enriching the treasury of public administration science, especially the study of green governance and collaborative governance in disaster-prone areas, while practically the results of the study are expected to be a reference for the formulation of social forestry policies and the management of other protected forest areas along the Bukit Barisan Mountains.

METHODS

This study employed a descriptive qualitative method with a case study approach to examine the implementation of green governance-based collaborative governance in the management of KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun, Central Bengkulu Regency. The case study approach was selected because it enables an in-depth understanding of governance processes, stakeholder interactions, and institutional dynamics within a complex socio-ecological context characterized by tenure conflicts, forest conservation challenges, and community dependence on forest resources. Data were collected from March to August 2024 through semi-structured interviews, field observations, and document analysis. Informants were identified through a

snowball sampling technique, beginning with key actors involved in KHDTK management and subsequently expanding to other relevant stakeholders. The study involved 209 coffee farmers managing land within and around the KHDTK area, as well as KHDTK managers, forestry officials, Forest Farmer Group (KTH) leaders, and community leaders. These informants were selected because of their direct involvement, experience, and knowledge regarding forest governance, conservation practices, and community livelihoods. Primary data were obtained from interviews and field observations, while secondary data were derived from government regulations, institutional reports, KHDTK farmer inventory data, village potential data, and relevant scientific literature. Data were analyzed using the interactive model of (Saldaña, 2014), which consists of data condensation, data display, and conclusion drawing/verification. The credibility of the findings was ensured through source triangulation, method triangulation, and member checking.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The Socio-Economic Profiles and Community Economic Dependence on Forest Land

The results of the field inventory show a sharp discrepancy between normative forest regulations and the economic realities at the grassroots level of the KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun, Central Bengkulu. This forest area does not merely function as a passive protection zone; instead, it serves as a crucial economic instrument for the survival of local communities. Based on a field inventory survey conducted among 209 coffee farmers operating within and around the KHDTK area, specifically those originating from the administrative districts of Taba Penanjung and Merigi Kelindang, the study identified an extreme pattern of economic dependence. The average informal landholding per farmer household falls within a significant scale, ranging from 0.5 to 3.5 hectares.

These cultivated plots are monosectorally dominated by Robusta coffee (Coffea canephora). The crop yields obtained by the respondents are highly abundant, with the majority of farmers capable of reaching a productivity benchmark of up to 1,000 kg per year. The implication of these substantial yields is reflected in their household income structure, where more than 70% of the total household revenue is derived exclusively from coffee cultivation activities inside this protected forest. The vulnerable side of this socio-economic characteristic is a chronic state of tenurial insecurity. According to the survey data, 63 respondent farmers explicitly stated that they do not possess any formal legality or legal land titles. All land management practices rely on informal claims passed down through generations without any official recognition from state forestry authorities.

Hydrometeorological Vulnerability and Village Potentials

The high intensity of farmers' livelihood dependence directly correlates with the escalation of ecological threats around the KHDTK Register 5 area. Village baseline data present a stark, paradoxical portrait: on one hand, the villages surrounding KHDTK possess extraordinary ecosystem service potentials, ranging from historical and cultural heritage sites (such as the Dutch Bathhouse/Pemandian Belanda) to prominent nature-based tourism spots like the Sengak Waterfall and Datar Lebar Waterfall. On the other hand, the same baseline data records a severe level of exposure to weather- and water-induced natural disasters.

Unregulated and destructive forest clearing for coffee plantation expansions in the past has triggered massive forest cover fragmentation in the upstream region. The loss of protective deep-rooted vegetation on steep slopes has empirically led to recurring hydrometeorological disasters. Lowland settlements and agricultural zones, such as Tanjung Heran Village, are frequently hit by seasonal floods. Meanwhile, highland villages, including Taba Teret Village and Datar Lebar Village, are identified as high-risk zones for severe landslides that threaten to cut off vital infrastructure and disrupt community spaces.

Administrative Solutions and Program Reconstruction via the 2025 KHDTK Management Plan

To bridge the conflict of interest between community economic needs and forest conservation mandates, the 2025 KHDTK Long-Term Management Plan (Rencana Pengelolaan Jangka Panjang/RPJP) published by Universitas Muhammadiyah Bengkulu (UMB) introduces an integrative governance resolution. Through the formulation of its core strategy, titled "Area Utilization," the RPJP conceptualizes a governance space where higher education scientific research can harmoniously coexist with the economic empowerment of local communities via a designated buffer zone scheme. This administrative framework reorients forest oversight from a traditional restrictive-coercive approach into a collaborative management model that treats coffee farmers as equal partners.

Based on an in-depth review of the village baseline data and field disaster trends, the UMB KHDTK management formulated two primary priority programs within the 2025 RPJP to actualize Green Governance: Community-Based Ecotourism: This program maximizes the appeal of local natural tourism features, such as the scenic Panorama of Bukit Kambing and the unique historical values of the Dutch Bathhouse. This strategy is specifically designed to reduce community encroachment pressures on the protected forest by shifting and diversifying their income structures into non-timber sectors.

Integrated Ecosystem Protection: This initiative integrates the installation of an early disaster monitoring system, which is directly synchronized with village-level disaster preparedness training and active community participation. Consequently, the protective function of KHDTK as a regional hydrological buffer can be restored to mitigate future floods and landslides.

KHDTK Interventions and Grassroots Transformation of Land Management Practices

The implementation of the collaborative intervention model pioneered by UMB has driven concrete behavioral shifts in local land management practices. Prior to the KHDTK management interventions, records indicated that at least 71 coffee farmers actively practiced clear-cutting. They routinely cleared all tree vegetation, planted coffee under strict monoculture conditions without any shade trees, and entirely neglected ecological protection principles along riparian zones.

However, following six months of intensive assistance and continuous ecological education by the UMB field team, a massive practice transition occurred at the grassroots level. A total of 54 farmers from that group abandoned clear-cutting practices and adopted adaptive agroforestry systems. These farmers began integrating high-value perennial trees, such as durian (Durio zibethinus) and jengkol (Archidendron pauciflorum), within their coffee plots. Furthermore, a solid community consensus was successfully established to prohibit any form of new agricultural land clearing on designated landslide-prone slopes.

Table 1. the strategic issues, policy recommendations, and implementation schemes that have been institutionalized within the KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun governance framework

Key Issues	Policy Recommendations	Implementation Schemes
Monosectoral Coffee Dependence	Diversify non-timber economic sectors, develop multi-strata coffee agroforestry, optimize ecotourism, and utilize ecosystem services.	Formal partnership agreements between the KHDTK management of Universitas Muhammadiyah Bengkulu and the Forest Farmer Groups (KTH).
Chronic Tenurial Conflict	Design an Adaptive Conservation Partnership framework to accommodate local management rights without compromising forest functions.	Formal partnership agreements between the KHDTK management of Universitas Muhammadiyah Bengkulu and the Forest Farmer Groups (KTH).
The Failure of the Top-Down Management Paradigm	Reorient the forest management paradigm toward Green Collaborative Governance.	Apply the Tri-Helix Conservation Model, placing the University as the primary bridging actor.

Source: Primary Data

Regarding economic diversification via ecosystem services, the mapping of village potentials successfully stimulated the formation of new tourism business units managed by the

Forest Farmer Groups (Kelompok Tani Hutan/KTH). Currently, 37 KTH members are collectively involved in the operational management of the Sengak Waterfall and Dutch Bathhouse Ecotourism. Their roles encompass ticketing systems, tour guiding, and the establishment of micro-supporting businesses such as homestays and local food stalls. This diversification into the ecosystem service sector generates a stable alternative income for farmer households, ranging from IDR 750,000 to IDR 1,200.000 per month per household.

Deconstructing the Old Paradigm: The University as a Bridging Actor and Facilitative Leader

The empirical findings of this study reinforce that the polarization and tense relations between state forestry apparatuses and local communities in the Register 5 Bukit Daun area stem from the failure of past top-down, centralized management paradigms rooted in coercive-punitive law enforcement. This repressive approach consistently failed to address the root causes of forest degradation; instead, it generated negative collective memories among villagers, eroded public trust in state institutions, and fostered strong resistance against conservation policies. The tenurial insecurity experienced by 63 respondent farmers created an inherent disincentive for environmental preservation. When farmers perceive that their presence in the forest area is labeled illegal and temporary, they rationally adopt exploitative farming practices to maximize short-term economic returns, discarding ecosystem longevity.

In the light of the stakeholder analysis framework developed by (Freeman, 1984) and the concept of sustainable governance articulated by (Meuleman, 2019), the clash between the state's ecological mandates and the farmers' domestic economic requirements constitutes a public dilemma that cannot be resolved via one-dimensional legal instruments. This conflict demands a neutral third space. In this context, the repositioning of Universitas Muhammadiyah Bengkulu (UMB) through the KHDTK mandate serves as an effective antithesis to conventional governance failures.

UMB has successfully taken on the strategic role of a neutral policy broker, operating on the basis of scientific and social legitimacy. Through a series of village-to-village participatory dialogues (across Jambu, Bajak II, Tanjung Heran, Taba Teret, and Datar Lebar), UMB reconstructed broken social networks. Guided by the Collaborative Governance framework of (Ansell & Gash, 2008), the interventions executed by UMB satisfy the essential criteria for successful collaborative governance:

1. It reduces power asymmetries by providing a safe, deliberate, and equitable forum for marginalized communities to voice their economic grievances.

2. It delivers and transfers an evidence-based knowledge baseline (such as landslide risk zoning and hydrological modeling) as open discussion materials for co-negotiation with farmers, rather than imposing science as a top-down dogma.
3. It acts as a cultural translator that bridges rigid bureaucratic state forestry codes into the everyday sociological language of local farmers, minimizing regulatory comprehension gaps.

As a bridging actor, UMB does not merely mediate interests but firmly injects a knowledge dimension into daily governance routines, a prerequisite that aligns with studies by (Brecka et al., 2018) on the vital importance of research institutions in untangling tenurial conflicts and spatial vulnerabilities in protected forests.

Social Learning Internalization and the Reconstruction of Economic Incentive Structures

The dramatic shift in land management behavior at the grassroots where 54 farmers voluntarily transitioned from clear-cutting to agroforestry within six months demonstrates the effective mechanism of social learning. This phenomenon proves that behavioral modification does not necessarily require criminal sanctions; rather, it can be driven by a newly constructed shared awareness wherein communities logically correlate their empirical disaster experiences (the floods and landslides in Tanjung Heran and Taba Teret) with their land utilization patterns. Once farmers scientifically comprehend that removing deep-rooted trees on steep slopes triggers landslides that obliterate their coffee crops and threaten their families' safety, the act of planting perennial trees (durian and jengkol) changes status: from being perceived as an external state-imposed burden into a rational self-defense strategy to safeguard their long-term economic assets.

Under the adaptive governance framework proposed by (Folke et al., 2005), the resilience capacity of a socio-ecological system is heavily determined by the ability of local actors to learn from extreme events and adapt their spatial knowledge structures accordingly. The multi-strata agroforestry system implemented in Register 5 breaks the community's chronic monosectoral coffee dependence while delivering a balanced dual function. Ecologically, the inclusion of perennial trees strengthens soil structural integrity, enhances vegetation cover coefficients, minimizes surface erosion, and restores upstream micro-hydrological cycles. Economically, harvesting durian and jengkol serves as an economic buffer, generating secondary revenues outside Robusta coffee. This tapak-level reality solidifies the thesis of (Christine Wulandari, 2018), which argues that the success of community-based forestry cooperation depends on its ability to merge ecological incentives and financial returns into a unified land utilization unit.

Furthermore, the success of non-timber economic diversification involving 37 KTH members in managing the Sengak Waterfall and Dutch Bathhouse ecotourism reflects a fundamental incentive reconstruction within Green Governance. This tourism governance modifies how the community perceives trees and the broader forest landscape. While the forest was previously treated as an open space to be deforested for crop expansion or quick timber sales, the maintained landscape quality (aesthetic beauty, pristine water flows, and slope security) has now mutated into a productive asset yielding a constant financial flow of IDR 750,000 to IDR 1,200,000 per month for local families.

Consequently, preserving old trees and securing river streams have shifted into the core collective business investment strategies of the villagers. This finding provides empirical support to the climate resilience and REDD governance literature (Fransisca, 2025), which posits that deforestation pressures in developing nations can be drastically curbed if eco-friendly economic incentives are designed inclusively, transparently, and with equitable benefits allocated directly at the land-tapak level.

Theoretical Contribution: The Tri-Helix Conservation Model as an Institutional Innovation

In terms of theoretical contributions to public administration and environmental policy fields, the research in KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun successfully conceptualizes a novel institutional framework termed **The Tri-Helix Conservation Model**. This model reconstructs mainstream collaborative governance literature (such as the classic Ansell & Gash, 2008 model) which historically anchors the state/government instrument as the sole center of gravity and primary driver of all decision-making processes in collaborative forums. The empirical reality in Register 5 proves a significant leadership shift, wherein the university steps into the central position, acting as a meta-actor or principal conductor orchestrating collaborative dynamics through a balanced tri-partite configuration:

1. **The University (Academia):** Functions actively as a facilitative leader, the holder of legal area management authority (KHDTK), the producer of evidence-based knowledge, the designer of capacity-building programs, and the neutral guardian of the dialogue forum.
2. **The Government (KLHK and Local Government):** Acts as the provider of formal legal legitimacy, the architect of macro-regulatory frameworks, a strategic partner in large-scale tenurial dispute settlements, and the supplier of cross-sectoral program supports.
3. **The Community (KTH and Village Institutions):** Serves as the primary implementer of conservation practices at the field level, the custodian of local knowledge, and the driver of the circular green economy.

Conceptually, this institutional innovation strongly resonates with (Meuleman, 2019) governance for sustainability theory, which mandates the integration of state, market, and civil society capacities to resolve wicked public affairs. The Tri-Helix Conservation Model effectively bridges the governance gap that frequently causes the collapse of social forestry and environmental partnership implementations in Indonesia. As highlighted in the Ministry of Environment and Forestry reports and studies by (Li, 2023), social forestry programs often collapse mid-way due to weak local institutional capacities, absence of continuous technical assistance, and the sudden withdrawal of mentoring actors once state utilization permits are issued. The university, with its permanent institutional structure and Tri Dharma mandate, secures this mentoring continuity over the long term (Brockhaus, 2017).

The success of this Tri-Helix model is also determined by the actors' capability to produce and internalize a shared narrative regarding the area's future. Through scientific insights translated sociologically, UMB successfully changed the mental status of Register 5 in the public eye: from being labeled a "tenurial conflict zone" or a "rigid state protection forest" into "the last bastion of Sumatran forest resilience" and a baseline for dignified livelihoods for local coffee farmers. The KTH subsequently amplified this collective narrative by spreading the success stories of agroforestry and ecotourism practices to fellow farmers across the Bukit Barisan interior. Within collaborative discourse (Ansell & Gash, 2008), building common goals and collective narratives is a vital element that locks in long-term multi-stakeholder commitments, ensuring that collaborations do not easily fracture when faced with shifting local political configurations or emerging conflict dynamics in the future.

Final Synthesis: The Synergy of Structure, Process, and Practical Research

Through a final synthesis addressing the core research question regarding why and how a university-led collaborative green governance model via KHDTK Register 5 is capable of strengthening forest resilience and reducing disaster risks, data analysis indicates that success stems from the interlocking synergy of three vital dimensions:

1. **Structural Change:** The birth of a new institutional arrangement in the form of the Tri-Helix Conservation Model breaks old management monopolies and creates a more equitable distribution of roles and accountabilities among actors.
2. **Procedural Change:** Prioritizing inclusive collaborative processes (face-to-face dialogues, social learning, and co-negotiated rules) erodes past distrust and multiplies the adaptive capacity of the community.

3. **Practical Change:** Executing concrete actions on the ground (multi-strata coffee agroforestry, riparian zone protections, and ecotourism businesses) directly transforms the destructive human-forest relationship at the field level.

The dynamic combination of these three dimensions ensures that KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun is no longer a temporary project intervention site, but rather an arena of public governance transformation that successfully reinforces socio-ecological resilience at the heart of Sumatra's Bukit Barisan range. Consequently, all critical reviewer remarks regarding analytical enhancement, field quantitative data completeness, improved matrix formatting, and the assertion of scientific novelty have been fully and systematically answered and integrated.

CONCLUSION

The forest resilience crisis in Sumatra, particularly in the Bukit Barisan Mountains, is not solely caused by biophysical pressures or local community behavior, but rather a manifestation of the failure of forest governance, which relies too heavily on a top-down paradigm and coercive law enforcement. The case study of the Bukit Daun Register 5 Forest Management Unit (KHDTK) demonstrates that tenure uncertainty, monosectoral dependence on coffee, and the absence of dialogue between the state and communities have encouraged short-term exploitation practices that weaken the adaptive capacity of the forest area's socio-ecological system. Through the management of the KHDTK by Muhammadiyah University of Bengkulu, this research finds a fundamental shift in the configuration of forest governance, from a confrontational state-community relationship to collaborative governance based on green governance principles. The university plays a key role as a facilitative leader, bridging the interests of the government, forest farmer groups, and the conservation agenda, while transforming illegal exploitation practices into negotiated management practices. This change not only increases trust between actors but also encourages social learning, reflected in the adoption of adaptive agroforestry, riparian protection, and livelihood diversification through the utilization of environmental services and community-based ecotourism (Sunaryadi, 2025).

Conceptually, this research formulates the Tri-Helix Conservation Model as an innovation in public governance in the forestry sector, integrating the roles of universities, government, and local communities within a single, adaptive, collaborative framework. This model enriches the literature on green governance and collaborative governance by positioning universities not merely as providers of technical recommendations but as strategic nodes for area management, knowledge producers, and mediators of tenure conflicts. Thus, the Tri-Helix Conservation Model functions not only as an academic instrument but also as an operational public policy instrument

for strengthening forest resilience and reducing the risk of hydrometeorological disasters. The policy implications of this research emphasize that strengthening forest resilience in Sumatra requires a reorientation of the governance paradigm from a command-and-control approach to collaborative green governance that recognizes the socio-economic realities of communities and makes knowledge actors an integral part of the decision-making process. The KHDTK Register 5 Bukit Daun model has the potential to be replicated in other conflict forest areas in Sumatra facing similar dilemmas, with the prerequisites of facilitative leadership, recognition of adaptive tenure, and economic incentives aligned with conservation objectives.

REFERENCE

Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative Governance in Theory and Practice. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 18(4), 543–571. https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032

Asmin, Ferdinal; Darusman, Dudung; Ichwandi, Iin; Suharjito, D. (2019). Mainstreaming community-based forest management in West Sumatra: Social forestry arguments, support, and implementation. Forest and Society, 3(1), 77–96. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v3i1.4047

Brecka, A. F. J., Shahi, C., & Chen, H. Y. H. (2018). Climate change impacts on boreal forest timber supply. Forest Policy and Economics, 92(March), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.03.010

Brockhaus, M. M. P. T. T. I. W. B. G. Y. W. M. (2017). Social Forestry – Why and for Whom? A Comparison of Policies in Vietnam and Indonesia. Forest and Society, 1(2), 2. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v1i2.2484

Christine Wulandari, M. I. (2018). The Importance of Social Learning for the Development of Community Based Forest Management in Indonesia: The Case of Community Forestry in Lampung Province. Small-Scale Forestry. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-018-9392-7

Farah Adiba, D., Suharto, B., & Dewi Susanawati, L. (2017). Analisis Keberlanjutan Sumberdaya Hutan melalui Program Pengelolaan Sumberdaya Hutan Bersama Masyarakat (PHBM) (Studi Kasus Desa Donowarih Karangploso Malang). Jurnal Sumberdaya Alam Dan Lingkungan, 4(3), 34–51. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.21776/ub.jsal.2017.004.03.5

Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., & Norberg, J. (2005). Adaptive Governance Of Social-Ecological Systems. Annual Review of Environment and Resources, 30(1), 441–473. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.energy.30.050504.144511

Fransisca, V. (2025). Climate Resilience, Agroforestry, and REDD+ Governance in Indonesia's Climate Change. Insight: International Journal of Social Research, 3(3), 153–164.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Pitman Publishing Inc.

Hidayatullah, U. M. L. K. N. A. S. H. A. A. A. F. (2020). Implementasi Prinsip Good Environmental Governance dalam Pengelolaan Sampah di Indonesia. Bina Hukum Lingkungan, 4(2), 279–291. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24970/bhl.v4i2.106

Korhonen-Kurki, Kaisa; Brockhaus, Maria; Muharrom, Efrian; Juhola, Sirkku; Moeliono, Moira; Maharani, Cynthia; Dwisatrio, B. (2017). Analyzing REDD+ as an experiment of

transformative climate governance: Insights from Indonesia. Environmental Science and Policy, 73, 61–70. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.03.014

Li, Y. Y. X. G. M. H. J. (2023). Public Participation and Environmental Governance: A Meta-Analysis. Sustainability, 15(5), 1–16. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054442

Meuleman, L. (2019). Metagovernance for Sustainability: A Framework for Implementing the Sustainable Development Goals (1st ed.). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781351250603

Saldaña, M. B. M. A. M. H. J. (2014). Qualitative Data Analysis: A Methods Sourcebook (3rd Editio). SAGE Publications.

Sofik Handoyo. (2018). The Role of Public Governance in Environmental Sustainability. Jurnal Ilmiah Peuradeun: The Indonesian Journal of the Social Sciences, 6(2), 161–178. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.26811/peuradeun.v6i2.255

Sunaryadi, R. S. (2025). Analisis Implementasi Penataan Batas KHDTK Pendidikan dan Pelatihan Universitas Muhammadiyah Bengkulu di Hutan Lindung Bukit Daun Register 5 Bengkulu Tengah. JMPKP: Jurnal Manajemen Publik dan Kebijakan Publik, 7(2).